## Fluctuation Measurements and Global Conservation Laws in the BES Program

Volodymyr Vovchenko (LBNL)

2021 RHIC/AGS Annual Users' Meeting

June 8, 2021

- Correcting QCD susceptibilities for global B,Q,S conservation
- Proton number cumulants at BES from hydrodynamics

Acknowledgements:

M.I. Gorenstein, V. Koch, R. Poberezhnyuk, O. Savchuk, C. Shen, H. Stoecker



Unterstützt von / Supported by



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

## **QCD** phase diagram with heavy-ion collisions





STAR event display

Figure from Bzdak et al., Phys. Rept. '20

Thousands of particles created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Apply concepts of statistical mechanics

## **Event-by-event fluctuations and statistical mechanics**

## 

Cumulants measure chemical potential derivatives of the (QCD) equation of state

• QCD critical point



M. Stephanov, PRL '09 Energy scans at RHIC (STAR) and CERN-SPS (NA61/SHINE)

• Test of (lattice) QCD at  $\mu_B \approx 0$ 



Figure from Bazavov et al. PRD 95, 054504 (2017) Probed by LHC and top RHIC

Freeze-out from fluctuations



Borsanyi et al. PRL 113, 052301 (2014) Bazavov et al. PRL 109, 192302 (2012)

## **Theory vs experiment: Caveats**

- accuracy of the grand-canonical ensemble (global conservation laws)
  - subensemble acceptance method (SAM)

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, PLB 811, 135868 (2020)

• coordinate vs momentum space (thermal smearing)

Ling, Stephanov, PRC 93, 034915 (2016); Ohnishi, Kitazawa, Asakawa, PRC 94, 044905 (2016)

 proxy observables in experiment (net-proton, net-kaon) vs actual conserved charges in QCD (net-baryon, net-strangeness)

Kitazawa, Asakawa, PRC 85, 021901 (2012); VV, Jiang, Gorenstein, Stoecker, PRC 98, 024910 (2018)

volume fluctuations

Gorenstein, Gazdzicki, PRC 84, 014904 (2011); Skokov, Friman, Redlich, PRC 88, 034911 (2013) X. Luo, J. Xu, B. Mohanty, JPG 40, 105104 (2013); Braun-Munzinger, Rustamov, Stachel, NPA 960, 114 (2017)

• non-equilibrium (memory) effects

Mukherjee, Venugopalan, Yin, PRC 92, 034912 (2015)

hadronic phase

Steinheimer, VV, Aichelin, Bleicher, Stoecker, PLB 776, 32 (2018)



© Lattice QCD@BNL



STAR event display

## When are the measured fluctuations grand-canonical?

- Consider event-by-event fluctuations of particle number in acceptance  $\Delta Y_{accept}$  around midrapidity
- Scales
  - $\Delta Y_{accept}$  acceptance
  - $\Delta Y_{total}$  full space
  - $\Delta Y_{corr}$  rapidity correlation length (thermal smearing)
  - $\Delta Y_{kick}$  diffusion in the hadronic phase
- **GCE** applies if  $\Delta Y_{total} \gg \Delta Y_{accept} \gg \Delta Y_{kick}, \Delta Y_{corr}$
- In practice  $\Delta Y_{total} \gg \Delta Y_{accept}$  and  $\Delta Y_{accept} \gg \Delta Y_{corr}$  are not simultaneously satisfied
  - Corrections from global conservation are large [Bzdak et al., PRC '13]
  - $\Delta Y_{corr} \sim 1 \sim \Delta Y_{accept}$  [Ling, Stephanov, PRC '16]







## Baryon number conservation with SAM

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, PLB 811, 135868 (2020)

# **Subensemble acceptance method (SAM)** – method to correct *any* EoS (e.g. *lattice QCD*) for charge conservation

Partition a thermal system with a globally conserved charge B (canonical ensemble) into two subsystems which can exchange the charge

Assume thermodynamic limit:

$$V, V_1, V_2 \rightarrow \infty; \quad \frac{V_1}{V} = \alpha = const; \quad \frac{V_2}{V} = (1 - \alpha) = const;$$

 $V_1$ ,  $V_2 \gg \xi^3$ ,  $\xi =$ correlation length

The canonical partition function then reads:

$$Z^{ ext{ce}}(T, V, B) = ext{Tr} \ e^{-eta \hat{H}} pprox \sum_{B_1} Z^{ ext{ce}}(T, V_1, B_1) Z^{ ext{ce}}(T, V - V_1, B - B_1)$$

The probability to have charge  $B_1$  is:

$$P(B_1) \propto Z^{ce}(T, \alpha V, B_1) Z^{ce}(T, (1-\alpha)V, B-B_1), \qquad \alpha \equiv V_1/V$$

 $V_1 + V_2 = V$ 







## **SAM: Computing the cumulants**

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, PLB 811, 135868 (2020)

Cumulant generating function for  $B_1$ :

$$G_{B_1}(t) \equiv \ln \langle e^{t B_1} \rangle = \ln \left\{ \sum_{B_1} e^{t B_1} \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha V}{T} f(T, \rho_{B_1}) \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta V}{T} f(T, \rho_{B_2}) \right] \right\} + \tilde{C} \qquad \beta = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}_1[B_1(t)] = \frac{\sum_{B_1} B_1 \tilde{P}(B_1; t)}{\sum_{B_1} \tilde{P}(B_1; t)} \equiv \langle B_1(t) \rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{P}(B_1; t) = \exp\left\{tB_1 - V \frac{\alpha f(T, \rho_{B_1}) + \beta f(T, \rho_{B_2})}{T}\right\}$$

Thermodynamic limit:  $\tilde{P}(B_1; t)$  highly peaked at  $\langle B_1(t) \rangle$ 

 $\langle B_1(t) \rangle$  is a solution to equation  $d\tilde{P}/dB_1 = 0$ :

$$t=\hat{\mu}_B[{\mathcal T},
ho_{{\mathcal B}_1}(t)]-\hat{\mu}_B[{\mathcal T},
ho_{{\mathcal B}_2}(t)]$$





t = 0:  $\rho_{B_1} = \rho_{B_2} = B/V$ ,  $B_1 = \alpha B$ , i.e. charge uniformly distributed between the subsystems

## SAM: Cumulant ratios in terms of GCE susceptibilities

$$\kappa_n[B_1] = \frac{\partial^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}_1[B_1(t)]}{\partial t^{n-1}} \bigg|_{t=0} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} : \ t = \hat{\mu}_B[T, \rho_{B_1}(t)] - \hat{\mu}_B[T, \rho_{B_2}(t)]$$

scaled variance  $\frac{\kappa_2[B_1]}{\kappa_1[B_1]} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\chi_2^B}{\chi_1^B},$ 

 $\chi_n^B \equiv \partial^{n-1} (\rho_B/T^3) / \partial (\mu_B/T)^{n-1}$ 

skewness

$$rac{\kappa_3[B_1]}{\kappa_2[B_1]}=(1-2lpha)rac{\chi_3^B}{\chi_2^B}$$
,

kurtosis

$$\frac{\kappa_4[B_1]}{\kappa_2[B_1]} = (1 - 3\alpha\beta) \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B} - 3\alpha\beta \left(\frac{\chi_3^B}{\chi_2^B}\right)^2.$$

- Global conservation ( $\alpha$ ) and equation of state ( $\chi_n^B$ ) effects factorize in cumulants up to the 3<sup>rd</sup> order, starting from  $\kappa_4$  not anymore
- $\alpha \to 0 \text{GCE limit}^*$ ,  $\alpha \to 1 \text{CE limit}$  \*As long as  $V_1 \gg \xi^3$  holds

For *multiple conserved charges* (joint B,Q,S cumulants up to 6<sup>th</sup> order) see VV, Poberezhnyuk, Koch, JHEP 10, 089 (2020)

## Net baryon fluctuations at LHC ( $\mu_B = 0$ )

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, PLB 811, 135868 (2020)



**Theory:** negative  $\chi_6^B/\chi_2^B$  is a possible signal of chiral criticality [Friman, Karsch, Redlich, Skokov, EPJC '11] **Experiment:**  $\alpha \approx \frac{N_{ch}(\Delta y)}{N_{ch}(\infty)} \approx \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma_y}\right)$ , for  $\Delta y \approx 1$  the  $\kappa_6/\kappa_2$  is mainly sensitive to the EoS

 $N_{ch}(\Delta y)$  measurement: ALICE Collaboration, PLB 726 (2013) 610-622

#### **SAM limitations: uniform** thermal system and **coordinate** space

**SAM-2.0:** apply the correction for *arbitrary* distributions inside and outside the acceptance that are peaked at the mean

- Spatially inhomogeneous systems (e.g. RHIC)
- Momentum space
- Non-conserved quantities (e.g. proton number)
- Map "grand-canonical" cumulants inside and outside the acceptance to the "canonical" cumulants inside the acceptance

$$\kappa_{p,B}^{\text{in,ce}} = \mathsf{SAM}\left[\kappa_{p,B}^{\text{in,gce}}, \kappa_{p,B}^{\text{out,gce}}\right]$$

Applicable at **RHIC-BES** 



- Collision geometry based 3D initial state [Shen, Alzhrani, PRC '20]
  - Constrained to net proton distributions
- Viscous hydrodynamics evolution MUSIC-3.0
  - NEOS-BSQ equation of state [Monnai, Schenke, Shen, PRC '19]
  - Shear viscosity via IS-type equation
- Cooper-Frye particlization at  $\epsilon_{sw} = 0.26 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$

$$\omega_{p} \frac{dN_{j}}{d^{3}p} = \int_{\sigma(x)} d\sigma_{\mu}(x) p^{\mu} \frac{d_{j} \lambda_{j}^{\text{ev}}(x)}{(2\pi)^{3}} \exp\left[\frac{\mu_{j}(x) - u^{\mu}(x)p_{\mu}}{T(x)}\right]$$

- Particlization includes QCD-based baryon number distribution
  - Here incorporated via baryon excluded volume
- Correction for global baryon conservation via SAM-2.0 details in [VV, Koch, PRC 103, 044903 (2021)]





## Net proton cumulant ratios



- Both the baryon conservation and repulsion needed to describe data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \geq 20~{\rm GeV}$  quantitatively
- Effect from baryon conservation is larger than from repulsion
- Canonical ideal HRG limit is consistent with the data-driven study of [Braun-Munzinger et al., 2007.02463]
- $\kappa_6/\kappa_2$  turns negative at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 50$  GeV

## **Cumulants vs Correlation Functions**

• Analyze genuine multi-particle correlations via factorial cumulants [Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC '17]

$$\hat{C}_1 = \kappa_1, \qquad \hat{C}_3 = 2\kappa_1 - 3\kappa_2 + \kappa_3, \\ \hat{C}_2 = -\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \quad \hat{C}_4 = -6\kappa_1 + 11\kappa_2 - 6\kappa_3 + \kappa_4$$

- Three- and four-particle correlations are small
  - Higher-order cumulants are driven by two-particle correlations
  - Small positive  $\hat{C}_3/\hat{C}_1$  in the data is explained by baryon conservation + excluded volume
  - Strong multi-particle correlations would be expected near the critical point [Ling, Stephanov, 1512.09125]
- Two-particle correlations are negative
  - Protons at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 14.5$  GeV overestimated
  - Antiprotons at  $19.6 \le \sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 62.4$  GeV underestimated

\*We use the notation for (factorial) cumulants from Bzdak et al., Phys. Rept. '20. This is different from STAR's 2101.12413 where it is reversed



## Acceptance dependence of two-particle correlations

- Qualitative agreement with the STAR data
- Data indicate a changing  $y_{max}$  slope at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 14.5 \text{ GeV}$
- Volume fluctuations? [Skokov, Friman, Redlich, PRC '13]
  - Can improve low energies but spoil high energies?
- Exact electric charge conservation?
  - Worsens the agreement at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \leq 14.5\,,$  higher energies virtually unaffected (see backup)
- Attractive interactions?
  - Could work if baryon repulsion switches to attraction in the high- $\mu_B$  regime
  - Critical point?



## Net baryon vs net proton



- net baryon ≠ net proton
- Baryon cumulants can be reconstructed from proton cumulants via binomial (un)folding based on isospin randomization [Kitazawa, Asakawa, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 021901]
  - Requires the use of joint factorial moments, only experiment can do it model-independently

 $\frac{\hat{C}_2^B}{\hat{C}_1^B} \approx 2$ 









## **Summary**

- Fluctuations are a powerful tool to explore the QCD phase diagram
- SAM corrects QCD cumulants in heavy-ion collisions for global conservation of (multiple) charges
  - important link between theory and experiment
- Quantitative analysis of proton cumulants at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =7.7-200 GeV
  - Data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 20$  GeV consistent with baryon conserv. + excluded volume
  - Possible evidence for attractive proton interactions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 14.5$  GeV
  - Need to unfold baryon cumulants from measured protons
  - Small three- and four-particle correlations in absence of critical point effects, ordinary cumulants driven by two-particle correlations





## Thanks for your attention!

## Backup slides

## **Canonical vs grand-canonical**

**Grand-canonical ensemble:** the system exchanges conserved charges with a heat bath

**Canonical ensemble:** conserved charges fixed to a same set of values in all microstates



**Thermodynamic equivalence:** in the limit  $V \rightarrow \infty$  all statistical ensembles are equivalent wrt to all average quantities, e.g.  $\langle N \rangle_{GCE} = N_{CE}$ 



Thermodynamic equivalence does *not* extend to fluctuations. The results are ensemble-dependent in the limit  $V \rightarrow \infty$ 

So what ensemble should one use?

Canonical? Grand-canonical? Something else?

## **Binomial acceptance vs the actual acceptance**

Binomial acceptance: accept each particle (charge) with a probability  $\alpha$  independently from all other particles



SAM:



## SAM for multiple conserved charges (B,Q,S)

### Key findings:

 Cumulants up to 3<sup>rd</sup> order factorize into product of binomial and grand-canonical cumulants

$$\kappa_{l,m,n} = \kappa_{l+m+n}^{\text{bino}}(\alpha) \times \kappa_{l,m,n}^{\text{gce}}, \qquad l+m+n \leq 3$$

- Ratios of second and third order cumulants are NOT sensitive to charge conservation
- Also true for the measurable ratios of covariances involving one nonconserved charge, such as  $\kappa_{pQ}/\kappa_{kQ}$
- For order n > 3 charge cumulants "mix". Effect in HRG is tiny

$$\kappa_{4}^{B} = \kappa_{4}^{B,\text{gce}} \beta \left[ \left( 1 - 3\alpha\beta \right) \chi_{4}^{B} - 3\alpha\beta \frac{(\chi_{3}^{B})^{2}\chi_{2}^{Q} - 2\chi_{21}^{BQ}\chi_{11}^{BQ}\chi_{3}^{B} + (\chi_{21}^{BQ})^{2}\chi_{2}^{B}}{\chi_{2}^{B}\chi_{2}^{Q} - (\chi_{11}^{BQ})^{2}} \right]$$



Mathematica notebook to express any B,Q,S-cumulant of order  $n \le 6$  in terms of grand-canonical susceptibilities available at https://github.com/vlvovch/SAM

#### VV, Poberezhnyuk, Koch, JHEP 10, 089 (2020)



## **Calculating cumulants at particlization**

- Strategy: •
  - Calculate proton cumulants in experimental acceptance in the grand-canonical limit\* 1.
  - Apply correction for exact baryon number conservation 2.

First step:

- Sum contributions from each fluid element  $x_i$ ٠
  - Cumulants of joint (anti)proton/(anti)baryon distribution •
  - Assumes small correlation length  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ ٠

- •
- ٠
- Each baryon is a proton with probability  $q(x_i) = \langle N_p(x_i) \rangle / \langle N_B(x_i) \rangle$ ٠ [Kitazawa, Asakawa, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 021901]

$$\kappa_{n,m}^{B^{\pm},p^{\pm},\mathsf{gce}}(\Delta p_{\mathsf{acc}}) = \sum_{i\in\sigma} \,\delta\kappa_{n,m}^{B^{\pm},p^{\pm},\mathsf{gce}}(x_i;\Delta p_{\mathsf{acc}})$$

Grand-canonical susceptibilities  $\chi^{B^{\pm}}(x_i)$  of (anti)baryon number Each baryon ends up in acceptance  $\Delta p_{acc}$  with binomial probability  $p_{acc}(x_i; \Delta p_{acc}) = \frac{\int_{p \in \Delta p_{acc}} \frac{d^3 p}{\omega_p} \delta \sigma_\mu(x_i) p^\mu f[u^\mu(x_i) p_\mu; T(x_i), \mu_j(x_i)]}{\int \frac{d^3 p}{\omega_p} \delta \sigma_\mu(x_i) p^\mu f[u^\mu(x_i) p_\mu; T(x_i), \mu_j(x_i)]}$ 

\*For similar calculations of critical fluctuations see Ling, Stephanov, 1512.09125 and Jiang, Li, Song, 1512.06164

## Net proton cumulants at RHIC



## Dependence on the switching energy density



## **Cross-checking the cumulants with Monte Carlo**

- Sample canonical ideal HRG model at particlization with Thermal-FIST
- Analytic results agree with Monte Carlo within errors



## **Exact conservation of electric charge**

- Sample ideal HRG model at particlization with exact conservation of baryon number, electric charge, and strangeness using Thermal-FIST
- Protons are affected by electric charge conservation at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 14.5$



## **Effect of the hadronic phase**

Sample ideal HRG model at particlization with exact conservation of baryon number using Thermal-FIST and run through hadronic afterburner UrQMD



- Net protons described within errors but not sensitive to the equation of state for the present experimental acceptance
- Large effect from resonance decays for lighter particles
- Future measurements will require larger acceptance







## Net baryon fluctuations at LHC

• Global baryon conservation distorts the cumulant ratios already for one unit of rapidity acceptance

e.g. 
$$\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{T=160MeV}^{\text{GCE}} \simeq 0.67 \neq \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}=1}^{\text{HIC}} \simeq 0.56$$

• Neglecting thermal smearing, effects of global conservation can be described analytically via SAM

$$\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\kappa_2^{\text{gce}}}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}}{9.6}, \quad \beta \equiv 1 - \alpha$$
$$\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2} = (1 - 3\alpha\beta) \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B},$$
$$\frac{\kappa_6}{\kappa_2} = [1 - 5\alpha\beta(1 - \alpha\beta)] \frac{\chi_6^B}{\chi_2^B} - 10\alpha(1 - 2\alpha)^2 \beta \left(\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\right)^2$$

• Effect of resonance decays is negligible



VV, Koch, arXiv:2012.09954

## Net baryon fluctuations at LHC

 Global baryon conservation distorts the cumulant ratios already for one unit of rapidity acceptance

e.g. 
$$\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{T=160MeV}^{\text{GCE}} \simeq 0.67 \neq \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}=1}^{\text{HIC}} \simeq 0.56$$

• Neglecting thermal smearing, effects of global conservation can be described analytically via SAM

$$\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\kappa_2^{\text{gce}}}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}}{9.6}, \quad \beta \equiv 1 - \alpha$$
$$\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2} = (1 - 3\alpha\beta) \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B},$$
$$\frac{\kappa_6}{\kappa_2} = [1 - 5\alpha\beta(1 - \alpha\beta)] \frac{\chi_6^B}{\chi_2^B} - 10\alpha(1 - 2\alpha)^2 \beta \left(\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\right)^2$$

• Effect of resonance decays is negligible

Cumulants corrected for baryon conservation



VV, Koch, arXiv:2012.09954



## **Volume fluctuations**

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n = \sum_{l=1}^n V_l B_{n,l}(\kappa_1/V, \kappa_2/V, \dots, \kappa_{n-l+1}/V)$$

Net-protons at LHC:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\kappa}_2 &= \kappa_2, \\ \tilde{\kappa}_4 &= \kappa_4 + 3\kappa_2^2 \,\tilde{v}_2, \\ \tilde{\kappa}_6 &= \kappa_6 + 15\kappa_2 \,\kappa_4 \,\tilde{v}_2 + 15\kappa_2^3 \,\tilde{v}_3 \end{split}$$

Protons at LHC:

$$\frac{\tilde{\kappa}_2^p}{\langle p \rangle} = \frac{\kappa_2^p}{\langle p \rangle} + \langle p \rangle \, \tilde{v}_2$$

