Extraction of moments of net-particle event-by-event fluctuations in the CBM experiment

 $\frac{V. Vovchenko}{for the CBM collaboration}$

DPG Spring Meeting

Darmstadt, Germany 15 March 2016











Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

- Higher-order fluctuations on phase diagram
- Rate of statistical convergence of different moments
- Efficiency corrections
- GEANT simulation and reconstruction of fluctuations
- Summary

Introduction



- A future fixed target experiment at FAIR facility.
- Up to 10⁷ Au+Au collisions per second at 4-11A GeV (SIS100) and 11-35A GeV (SIS300).
- Measurement of bulk and rare probes.

Physics programme

- Equation of state at high baryonic densities
- Phase transitions at high μ_B
- QCD critical point, probed by e-by-e fluctuations
- Subthreshold production of hadrons
- Hypernuclei production

Higher-order moments of fluctuations

Let N be a random variable and P(N) its probability distribution.

k-th moment:
$$\langle N^k \rangle = \sum_N N^k P(N)$$

Variance: $\sigma^2 = \langle (\Delta N)^2 \rangle = \langle (N - \langle N \rangle)^2 \rangle$
Scaled variance: $\frac{\sigma^2}{M} = \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle N \rangle}$ width
Skewness: $S\sigma = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2} = \frac{\langle (\Delta N)^3 \rangle}{\sigma^2}$ asymmetry
Kurtosis: $\kappa \sigma^2 = \frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2} = \frac{\langle (\Delta N)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle (\Delta N)^2 \rangle^2}{\sigma^2}$ peakedness
and so on...

In heavy-ion collisions N can be conserved charge (baryon, electric, strangeness) or some particle number in a specific phase-space region

Fluctuations in thermodynamics

Why are fluctuations interesting?

In thermodynamics fluctuations are related to susceptibilities $\chi^{(n)}$

$$\chi^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n (p/T^4)}{\partial (\mu/T)^n}$$
$$\frac{\sigma^2}{M} = \frac{\chi^{(2)}}{\chi^{(1)}}, \qquad S\sigma = \frac{\chi^{(3)}}{\chi^{(2)}}, \qquad \kappa\sigma^2 = \frac{\chi^{(4)}}{\chi^{(2)}},$$

Fluctuations are very sensitive to QCD equation of state and can be used to study QCD phase transitions



Near CP \sim increasing powers of ξ

$$\chi^{(2)} \sim \xi^2$$
$$\chi^{(3)} \sim \xi^{4.5}$$
$$\chi^{(4)} \sim \xi^7$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Infinite system: } \xi \rightarrow \infty \mbox{ at CP} \\ \mbox{In HIC } \xi \lesssim 2-3 \mbox{ fm} \end{array}$

Nuclear matter as van der Waals system of nucleons



Vovchenko et al., PRC 91, 064314 (2015) and PRC 92, 054901 (2015)



Nuclear matter as van der Waals system of nucleons

Vovchenko et al., PRC 91, 064314 (2015) and PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

n (fm-3) ω 35 35 10.00 30 0.16 30 0.13 (NeC) 25 20 15 (∧eW) 20 ⊥ 15 0.09 0.07 10 10 iauid gas gas 5 5 - 0.10 - 0.01 880 880 890 900 910 920 890 900 910 920 930 930 μ (MeV) μ (MeV) Sσ 35 10.00 30 (NeV) 20 15 0.00 10 gas liquid 5 40.00 0 880 890 900 910 920 930 μ (MeV) Vovchenko et al., PRC 91, 064314 (2015) and PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Nuclear matter as van der Waals system of nucleons

n (fm-3) m 35 35 10.00 30 30 (Nev 20 15 (NeV) 20 L 15 0.09 0.07 10 10 gas gas 5 5 0.10 0.01 880 880 890 900 910 920 890 900 910 920 930 930 μ (MeV) μ (MeV) Sσ $\kappa\sigma^2$ 35 35 40.00 10.00 10.00 30 30 (NeV) 21 1 (NeV) 20 15 1.00
 0.00
 -1.00 1.00 10 10 gas liquid gas liquid 5 10.00 40.00 0 880 0 880 890 900 910 920 930 890 900 910 920 930 μ (MeV) μ (MeV) Vovchenko et al., PRC 91, 064314 (2015) and PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Nuclear matter as van der Waals system of nucleons

Beam energy dependence

Can be measured in different acceptance windows at different energies



For small window fluctuations approach ideal gas For large window global charge conservation plays role Measurements should be performed in different windows Peculiarities in energy dependence may signal criticality

Needed statistics to measure higher moments

How much statistics are needed for accurate estimation of higher moments? For a large sample of Gaussian distributed variables

$$\Delta(S\sigma) = \sqrt{\frac{6\sigma^2}{n}}, \qquad \Delta(\kappa\sigma^2) = \sqrt{\frac{24\sigma^4}{n}}, \qquad \Delta(\kappa_6/\kappa_2) = \sqrt{\frac{720\sigma^8}{n}}.$$

More rigorously: Delta theorem, X. Luo, JPG 39, 025008 (2012)











Higher fluctuation moments require higher statistics.



Statistical error grows with \overline{N} . Convergence rate will depend on kinematic window.



Statistical error grows with \overline{N} . Convergence rate will depend on kinematic window.

Efficiency corrections

Since not all particles are reconstructed and identified, the efficiency corrections are needed The simplest one is the binomial correction

Binomial correction assumptions

- Detection of all particles is independent of each other
- Probability to register particle is binomial

. . .

• Only a single efficiency parameter ε is needed

Original cumulants K_i reconstructed from measured k_i

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{k_1}{\varepsilon} \\ & \mathcal{K}_2 = \frac{k_2 + (\varepsilon - 1) k_1}{\varepsilon^2} \\ & \mathcal{K}_3 = \frac{k_3 + 3(\varepsilon - 1)k_2 + (\varepsilon - 1)(\varepsilon - 2) k_1}{\varepsilon^3} \end{split}$$

For net-particle numbers $(N = N_+ - N_-)$ more complicated correction involving factorial moments exists.

Monte Carlo simulation: Binomial efficiency

Test of efficiency correction on non-trivial (non-Poisson) fluctuations

Testing procedure

- Take e-by-e proton yields from 5 million PHSD Au+Au events
- **②** Simulate detector response by performing Bernoulli trials on each proton in each event with given efficiency ε
- Ompare efficiency corrected cumulants with original ones



Monte Carlo simulation: Binomial efficiency



In ideal case correction works properly for non-trivial initial fluctuations

Monte Carlo simulation: Fluctuating efficiency

In more realistic scenario efficiency is changes from event to event, e.g., due to fluctuations in number of tracks, momenta etc. Simulate efficiency fluctuations by Gaussian around $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ with particular $\delta \varepsilon$ Kurtosis Skewness 5 million PHSD central Au+Au events at 10A GeV 5 million PHSD central Au+Au events at 10A GeV 1.05 0.4<p_<0.5 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.5 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.8 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.8 GeV/c 0.4<p_<2.0 GeV/c 1.0 0.4<p.<2.0 GeV/c 1.00 Ŧ - Ŧ Sσ 09 $\kappa \sigma^2$ 0.95 0.8 $< \epsilon > = 0.80$ $<\epsilon > = 0.80$ $\delta \epsilon = 0.01$ ە^{0.90} $\delta \epsilon = 0.01$ κα² 0.7 õ 0.6 0.85 Ŧ proton |y-y_m|<0.2 proton |y-y_m|<0.2 0.5 0.80 PHSD PHSD 04 0.75 - PHSD+Binomial, efficiency uncorrected · PHSD+Binomial, efficiency uncorrected 0.3 - PHSD+Binomial, efficiency corrected PHSD+Binomial, efficiency corrected 0 70

Monte Carlo simulation: Fluctuating efficiency

In more realistic scenario efficiency is changes from event to event, e.g., due to fluctuations in number of tracks, momenta etc. Simulate efficiency fluctuations by Gaussian around $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ with particular $\delta \varepsilon$ Kurtosis Skewness 5 million PHSD central Au+Au events at 10A GeV 5 million PHSD central Au+Au events at 10A GeV 1.05 0.4<p_<0.5 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.5 GeV/c 0.4<p.<2.0 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.8 GeV/c 0.4<p_<0.8 GeV/c 1.0 0.4<p_<2.0 GeV/c 1.00 Sσ 09 $\kappa \sigma^2$ 0.95 0.8 $< \epsilon > = 0.80$ $<\epsilon > = 0.80$ $\delta \epsilon = 0.03$. ^{0.90}و ξġ $\delta \epsilon = 0.03$ 0.7 õ 0.6 0.85 proton |y-y_m|<0.2 proton |y-y_m|<0.2 0.5 0.80 PHSD PHSD 04 0.75 - PHSD+Binomial, efficiency uncorrected PHSD+Binomial, efficiency uncorrected 0.3 - PHSD+Binomial, efficiency corrected PHSD+Binomial, efficiency corrected 0 70

> Small efficiency fluctuations may destroy agreement! Especially higher moments more strongly affected.

First try with GEANT simulation



GEANT simulation

- Realistic CBM detector response
- UrQMD events put through GEANT
- Tracks and momenta rec. with STS
- Particle ID by TOF
- Implemented in KF Particle Finder



Problems

- Individual efficiency correlations e.g. track merging
- Particle misidentification
- Identification of primaries

GEANT simulation: Efficiency corrections



GEANT simulation of CBM detector response

The simple correction is not enough!

Becomes worse with increasing moments and/or kinematic window

Still preliminary, reconstruction can likely be improved

Summary

- Fluctuations of conserved charges carry information about finer details of the equation of state and exhibit rich structures near critical point. Both NN interactions and chiral criticality may play role at SIS100/300 energies.
- Interaction rate at CBM should be enough to measure the efficiency uncorrected moments up to sixth order.
- The errors due to binomial correction increase with decreasing efficiency and increasing cumulant order. The validity of the correction is very sensitive to fluctuations and correlations of efficiencies of individual particles.
- Higher moments cannot be properly corrected by the binomial correction in realistic situations. More elaborate procedure is likely needed.

Summary

- Fluctuations of conserved charges carry information about finer details of the equation of state and exhibit rich structures near critical point. Both NN interactions and chiral criticality may play role at SIS100/300 energies.
- Interaction rate at CBM should be enough to measure the efficiency uncorrected moments up to sixth order.
- The errors due to binomial correction increase with decreasing efficiency and increasing cumulant order. The validity of the correction is very sensitive to fluctuations and correlations of efficiencies of individual particles.
- Higher moments cannot be properly corrected by the binomial correction in realistic situations. More elaborate procedure is likely needed.

Thanks for your attention!