# Charge fluctuations in isobar collisions and connections with lattice QCD

#### Volodymyr Vovchenko (LBNL)

RBRC Workshop: Physics Opportunities from the RHIC Isobar Run

January 27, 2021

- Conserved charge fluctuations in heavy-ion collisions and (lattice) QCD EoS
- Opportunities with isobar collisions





Unterstützt von / Supported by



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

# **QCD** phase diagram with heavy-ion collisions





STAR event display

Figure from Bzdak et al., Phys. Rept. '20

Thousands of particles created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Apply concepts of statistical mechanics

#### **Event-by-event fluctuations and statistical mechanics**

#### 

Cumulants measure chemical potential derivatives of the (QCD) equation of state

• QCD critical point



M. Stephanov, PRL '09 Energy scans at RHIC (STAR) and CERN-SPS (NA61/SHINE)

• Test of (lattice) QCD at  $\mu_B \approx 0$ 



Figure from Bazavov et al. PRD 95, 054504 (2017) Probed by LHC and top RHIC

Freeze-out from fluctuations



Borsanyi et al. PRL 113, 052301 (2014) Bazavov et al. PRL 109, 192302 (2012)

#### **Theory vs experiment**

#### Theory



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Lattice QCD@BNL

- Coordinate space
- Periodic box, grand-canonical
- Conserved charges
- Uniform
- Fixed volume

#### Experiment



STAR event display

- Momentum space
- Expanding in vacuum, canonical
- Non-conserved particle numbers
- Inhomogenous
- Fluctuating volume

# When are the measured fluctuations grand-canonical?

- Consider event-by-event fluctuations of particle number in acceptance  $\Delta Y_{accept}$  around midrapidity
- Scales
  - $\Delta Y_{accept}$  acceptance
  - $\Delta Y_{total}$  full space
  - $\Delta Y_{corr}$  rapidity correlation length (thermal smearing)
  - $\Delta Y_{kick}$  diffusion in the hadronic phase
- **GCE** applies if  $\Delta Y_{total} \gg \Delta Y_{accept} \gg \Delta Y_{kick}, \Delta Y_{corr}$
- In practice  $\Delta Y_{total} \gg \Delta Y_{accept}$  nor  $\Delta Y_{accept} \gg \Delta Y_{corr}$  are not simultaneously satisfied
  - Corrections from global conservation are large [Bzdak et al., PRC '13]
  - $\Delta Y_{corr} \sim 1 \sim \Delta Y_{accept}$  [Ling, Stephanov, PRC '16]



ALICE event display



V. Koch, arXiv:0810.2520

### **Correcting for exact conservation of conserved charges**

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, Phys. Lett. B 811, 135868 (2020)

$$\mathcal{P}_1^{ ext{ce}}(B_1) \propto \sum_{B_1,B_2} P_1^{ ext{gce}}(B_1) P_2^{ ext{gce}}(B_2) imes \delta_{B,B_1+B_2}$$

- Subensemble acceptance method (SAM)
  - Corrects *any* equation of state for global BQS-charge conservation
  - Canonical ensemble cumulants in terms of grand-canonical susceptibilities ← connection to lattice QCD

$$\kappa_2/\kappa_1 = (1-lpha) \, \chi_2^{\mathcal{B}}/\chi_1^{\mathcal{B}}, \qquad \kappa_3/\kappa_2 = (1-2lpha) \, \chi_3^{\mathcal{B}}/\chi_2^{\mathcal{B}}, \qquad ..$$



 $\alpha$  – acceptance fraction

- SAM-2.0 VV, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014903 (2022)
  - Non-conserved quantities (e.g. proton number)
  - Spatially inhomogeneous systems
  - Momentum space
  - Maps "grand-canonical" cumulants inside and outside the acceptance to the "canonical" cumulants inside the acceptance:\*

$$\kappa_{p,B}^{\text{in,ce}} = \mathsf{SAM}\left[\kappa_{p,B}^{\text{in,gce}}, \kappa_{p,B}^{\text{out,gce}}
ight]$$

P(B) P(B)  $P_{2}(B_{2})$  uncorrected  $P_{1}(B_{1})$  corrected  $P_{1}(B_{1})$  corrected  $P_{1}(B_{1})$  corrected  $P_{2}(B_{2})$  uncorrected  $P_{1}(B_{1})$  corrected  $P_{2}(B_{2})$  uncorrected  $P_{2}(B_{2})$   $P_{2}(B_{2})$   $P_{2}(B_{2})$   $P_{2}(B_{2})$ 

\*Explicit expressions for any cumulant available via a Mathematica notebook at <a href="https://github.com/vlvovch/SAM">https://github.com/vlvovch/SAM</a>

# Multiple conserved charges (B,Q,S), uniform systems

#### Key findings:

 Cumulants up to 3<sup>rd</sup> order factorize into product of binomial and grand-canonical cumulants

$$\kappa_{l,m,n} = \kappa_{l+m+n}^{\text{bino}}(\alpha) \times \kappa_{l,m,n}^{\text{gce}}$$
,  $l+m+n \leq 3$ 

- Ratios of second and third order cumulants are NOT sensitive to charge conservation
- Also true for the measurable ratios of covariances involving one nonconserved charge, such as  $\kappa_{pQ}/\kappa_{kQ}$
- For order n > 3 charge cumulants "mix". Effect in HRG is tiny

$$\kappa_{4}^{B} = \kappa_{4}^{B,\text{gce}} \beta \left[ \left( 1 - 3\alpha\beta \right) \chi_{4}^{B} - 3\alpha\beta \frac{(\chi_{3}^{B})^{2}\chi_{2}^{Q} - 2\chi_{21}^{BQ}\chi_{11}^{BQ}\chi_{3}^{B} + (\chi_{21}^{BQ})^{2}\chi_{2}^{B}}{\chi_{2}^{B}\chi_{2}^{Q} - (\chi_{11}^{BQ})^{2}} \right]$$

**Experiment:** Measurements of the off-diagonal cumulants are in progress, e.g. [STAR Collaboration, arXiv:1903.05370]



# Net baryon fluctuations at LHC from lattice QCD ( $\mu_B = 0$ )



**Theory:** negative  $\chi_6^B / \chi_2^B$  is a possible signal of chiral criticality [Friman, Karsch, Redlich, Skokov, EPJC '11] **Experiment\*:**  $\alpha \approx \frac{N_{ch}(\Delta y)}{N_{ch}(\infty)} \approx \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma_y}\right)$ , for  $\Delta y \approx 1$  the  $\kappa_6 / \kappa_2$  is mainly sensitive to the EoS

For the effect of thermal smearing + other net-particle fluctuations see VV, Koch, PRC 103, 044903 (2021)

#### \* $N_{ch}(\Delta y)$ measurement: ALICE Collaboration, PLB 726 (2013) 610-622

# **RHIC-BES: Hydrodynamic description in non-critical scenario**

- Collision geometry based 3D initial state [Shen, Alzhrani, PRC '20]
  - Constrained to net proton distributions
- Viscous hydrodynamics evolution MUSIC-3.0
  - Energy-momentum and baryon number conservation
  - NEOS-BQS crossover equation of state [Monnai, Schenke, Shen, PRC '19]
- Cooper-Frye particlization at  $\epsilon_{sw} = 0.26 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$

$$\omega_p rac{dN_j}{d^3 p} = \int_{\sigma(x)} d\sigma_\mu(x) \, p^\mu \, rac{d_j \, \lambda_j^{
m ev}(x)}{(2\pi)^3} \, \exp\left[rac{\mu_j(x) - u^\mu(x) p_\mu}{T(x)}
ight].$$

- Particlization includes QCD-based baryon number distribution
  - Here incorporated via baryon excluded volume

[VV, Pasztor, Fodor, Katz, Stoecker, PLB 775, 71 (2017)]

• Correction for baryon conservation via SAM-2.0 [VV, PRC 105, 014903 (2022)]









9

#### Net proton cumulant ratios



- Both the baryon conservation and repulsion needed to describe data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \geq 20~{\rm GeV}$  quantitatively
- Effect from baryon conservation is larger than from repulsion
- Canonical ideal HRG limit is consistent with the data-driven study of [Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA 1008 (2021) 122141]
- $\kappa_6/\kappa_2$  turns negative at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 50$  GeV

# **Opportunities from isobar collisions**



1. Tackle volume fluctuations for system-size dependence

2. A possible new way to measure grand-canonical charge cumulant ratios

#### **Controlling volume fluctuations in smaller systems**



Volume fluctuations, like  $N_{part}$  fluctuations, affect cumulants and should be corrected for

 $\tilde{\kappa}_2 = \kappa_2 + \kappa_1^2 \tilde{\nu}_2$ ,  $\tilde{\kappa}_3 = \kappa_3 + 3\tilde{\nu}_2\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \tilde{\nu}_3\kappa_1^3$ , ... Skokov et al., PRC 88, 034911 (2013)

Volume fluctuations are smaller in central collisions of smaller nuclei (isobars) relative non-central collisions of heavier nuclei (Au-Au) at same  $N_{part}$ 

Use isobar collisions as a complement to Au-Au to control volume fluctuations in smaller systems

#### Analyze freeze-out using thermal model, charge and strangeness conservation define $\mu_0 \& \mu_s$

 $n_Q/n_B = Q/B$ ,  $n_S = 0$  $(Q/B)_{RuRu} \simeq 0.458$  vs  $(Q/B)_{ZrZr} \simeq 0.417$ 

Use T = 160 MeV,  $\mu_B = 25$  MeV and Thermal-FIST\* package to estimate the difference in  $\mu_O$ :

 $\Delta \mu_Q = \mu_Q^{Ru} - \mu_Q^{Zr} pprox 0.3$  MeV

Ru+Ru vs Zr+Zr: difference in the freeze-out conditions

The effect on hadrochemistry is very mild:

$$(\pi^+/\pi^-)_{RuRu} / (\pi^+/\pi^-)_{ZrZr} \simeq 1.002,$$

$$(K^{+}/K^{-})_{RuRu} / (K^{+}/K^{-})_{ZrZr} \simeq 1.001$$

How about fluctuations?



$$(\pi^{-})_{RuRu} / (\pi^{+}/\pi^{-})_{ZrZr} \simeq 1.002,$$
 (K)

#### Ru+Ru & Zr+Zr: access to grand-canonical cumulants?

Grand-canonical charge cumulants:  $\kappa_n^Q(\mu_Q) = VT^3 \chi_n^Q(\mu_Q)$  where  $\chi_n^Q$  are grand-canonical susceptibilities

Taylor expand Ru+Ru around Zr+Zr:

$$\chi_n^Q(\mu_Q^{Ru}) \approx \chi_n^Q(\mu_Q^{Zr}) + \frac{\Delta\mu_Q}{T}\chi_{n+1}^Q(\mu_Q^{Zr})$$

Ratios of RuRu and ZrZr charge cumulants measured in the same acceptance:

$$\frac{(\kappa_1^Q)_{Ru}}{(\kappa_1^Q)_{Zr}} \approx \frac{V_{Ru}}{V_{Zr}} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta\mu_Q}{T} \frac{\chi_2^Q}{\chi_1^Q}\right), \qquad \frac{(\kappa_2^Q)_{Ru}}{(\kappa_2^Q)_{Zr}} \approx \frac{V_{Ru}}{V_{Zr}} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta\mu_Q}{T} \frac{\chi_3^Q}{\chi_2^Q}\right), \qquad \frac{(\kappa_3^Q)_{Ru}}{(\kappa_3^Q)_{Zr}} \approx \frac{V_{Ru}}{V_{Zr}} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta\mu_Q}{T} \frac{\chi_4^Q}{\chi_3^Q}\right),$$

#### Advantages:

- Access to higher-order cumulants through measurements of lower order ones
- Corrections due to global charge conservation [factors  $(1 \alpha)$  for  $\kappa_2^Q$  and  $(1 2\alpha)$  for  $\kappa_3^Q$ ] cancel out

Volume ratio  $V_{Ru}/V_{Zr} \approx 1$  to leading order or use e.g.  $\langle N_{Ru}^{ch} \rangle / \langle N_{Zr}^{ch} \rangle$  as an estimate

HRG model estimates:  $(\kappa_1^Q)_{Ru}/(\kappa_1^Q)_{Zr}$ ,  $(\kappa_3^Q)_{Ru}/(\kappa_3^Q)_{Zr} \approx 1.1$ ,  $(\kappa_2^Q)_{Ru}/(\kappa_2^Q)_{Zr} \approx 1$ 

- Fluctuations are a powerful tool to explore the QCD phase diagram
  - test of lattice QCD and equilibration, probe the QCD critical point

• Use the collisions of smaller isobar collisions to improve the control over volume fluctuations in small systems

- Exploit the difference between Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr for a new way to measure charge cumulant ratios
  - Measure lower-order cumulants to extract higher-order ones
  - Cancellation of global charge conservation corrections

# Thanks for your attention!