

QCD Phase Diagram at Finite Density

Volodymyr Vovchenko (University of Houston)

Early-Career Researcher Day, Quark Matter 2025

April 6, 2025



Extreme states of matter





QCD under extreme conditions







- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{\rm B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{\rm B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured

QCD under extreme conditions



$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{q=u,d,s,...} ar{q} \left[i \gamma^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} - i g A^{a}_{\mu} \lambda_{a}) - m_{q}
ight] q - rac{1}{4} G^{a}_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}_{a}$$



- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{\rm B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{\rm B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured

QCD under extreme conditions







- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{\rm B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{\rm B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured

QCD Phase Diagram: From zero to non-zero density

Non-perturbative methods



First-principle tool: Lattice QCD

Ab-initio calculation of hadron masses





BMW Collaboration, Science 322, 1224 (2008)

Remarkable agreement of QCD with the experiment





- Analytic crossover at vanishing net baryon density at $T_{pc} \approx 155$ MeV a first-principle result [Y. Aoki et al., Nature 443, 675 (2006)]
- Finite density: $\mu_B > 0$ (excess of baryons over antibaryons) encounters the sign problem

$$\det M[U,\mu] = |\det M[U,\mu]| \, e^{i heta}$$

Extrapolations toward $\mu_B > 0$

GMMM 25

7

Taylor expansion + various resummations and extrapolation schemes from $\mu_B = 0$

(Pseudo-)critical line:
$$\frac{T_c(\mu_B)}{T_c(\mu_B=0)} = 1 - \kappa_2 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T_c(\mu_B)}\right)^2 - \kappa_4 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T_c(\mu_B)}\right)^4 \dots \kappa_2 = 0.0153(18), \quad \kappa_4 = 0.00032(67)$$

[Borsanyi et al. (WB), PRL '20; Bazavov et al. (HotQCD), PLB '19]

Extrapolations toward $\mu_B > 0$

Taylor expansion + various resummations and extrapolation schemes from $\mu_B = 0$

(Pseudo-)critical line:
$$\frac{T_c(\mu_B)}{T_c(\mu_B = 0)} = 1 - \kappa_2 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T_c(\mu_B)}\right)^2 - \kappa_4 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T_c(\mu_B)}\right)^4 \dots \kappa_2 = 0.0153(18), \quad \kappa_4 = 0.00032(67)$$

[Borsanyi et al. (WB), PRL '20; Bazavov et al. (HotQCD), PLB '19]



4D-T'ExS: T' expansion scheme in (μ_B, μ_Q, μ_S) [Abuali, Borsanyi et al. (WB), arXiv:2504.01881]

All work at small/moderate densities where there is only crossover (no phase transition or CP)

7

QCD critical point





What is the nature of the quark-hadron transition at finite baryon density?

Is there a QCD phase transition and critical point? Where?

Extrapolating critical point from lattice

- Entropy density s becomes multi-valued function of T and μ_B for a first-order phase transition
- It develops a distinctive S-shape as a function of T at $\mu_B = const > \mu_{B,c}$



Looking for entropy crossings



• Critical point ruled out (2σ level) at $\mu_B < 400$ MeV (no crossings)

Borsanyi et al., arXiv:2502.10267

• Try going further $T_s(\mu_B;T_0) = T_0 + \alpha_2(T_0) \frac{\mu_B^2}{2}$



• First-order phase transition emerges at $\mu_B > 600 \text{ MeV}$

Critical point estimates





Critical point estimate at $O(\mu_B^2)$: $T_c = 114 \pm 7 \text{ MeV}, \quad \mu_B = 602 \pm 62 \text{ MeV}$ **Estimates from recent literature:** YLE-1: D.A. Clarke et al. (Bielefeld-Parma), arXiv:2405.10196 YLE-2: G. Basar, PRC 110, 015203 (2024) BHE: M. Hippert et al., arXiv:2309.00579 fRG: W-J. Fu et al., PRD 101, 054032 (2020) DSE/fRG: Gao, Pawlowski., PLB 820, 136584 (2021) DSE: P.J. Gunkel et al., PRD 104, 052022 (2021) FSS: A. Sorensen et al., arXiv:2405.10278

Optimist's view: Different estimates converge onto the same region because QCD CP is likely there **Pessimist's view:** Different estimates converge onto the same region because it's the closest not yet ruled out by LQCD Either way, can be tested in laboratory with **heavy-ion collisions**

QCD Phase Diagram and Heavy-Ion Collisions

QCD laboratories (~1980-...)











Relativistic heavy-ion collisions – "Little Bangs"



Control parameters

- Collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.4 5020 \text{ GeV}$
- Size of the collision region

Measurements

 Final hadron abundances and momentum distributions event by event

QCD phase diagram with heavy-ion collisions





Apply concepts of statistical mechanics



Ideal gas law (E. Clapeyron, 1834)

 $P_i V = N_i k_B T$ (+ feeddown)

$$N_i = \frac{d_i V}{2\pi^2} \int dk \, k^2 \left[1 \pm \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{k^2 + m_i^2} - \mu_i}{T}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

is the simplest model of particle production

 \overline{d} ³He ³He ³AH $\frac{3}{4}$ H ⁴He ⁴He π^+ $\pi^ K^+$ $K K_{s}^{0}$ $\overline{\Omega}^{+}$ φ p Ξ Ω^{-} р Λ dN/dy 10³ ALICE, 0-10% Pb-Pb, √s_{NN} = 2.76 TeV midrapidity 10 10⁻¹ T (MeV) $V (fm^3)$ χ^2/NDF Model BR = 25% 10^{-3} THERMUS 3.0 155 ± 2 5825 ± 411 45.5/19 27.6/19 SHARE 3 156 ± 3 4476 ± 696 10^{-5} Thermal-FIST (energy dep. BW) 155 ± 2 4962 ± 363 22.1/19 -- GSI-Heidelberg (S-Matrix) 157 ± 2 4175 ± 380 17.1/19 10⁻⁷ (mod.-data) 0.5 mod. 0 -0.5(mod.-data) o data

Bose-Einstein & Fermi-Dirac, 1924-1926



© J. Cleymans

ALICE Collaboration, EPJC 84, 813 (2024)

Hadron resonance gas (HRG) model



non-int. limit

HRG model: free gas of known hadrons and resonances ٠



 $p(T, \mu_B) = T \phi_M(T) + 2 T \phi_B(T) \cosh(\mu_B/T)$ mesons $p(T, \mu_B) = T \phi_M(T) + 2 T \phi_B(T) \cosh(\mu_B/T)$ $\phi_{\mathcal{M}(B)}(T) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}(B)} \frac{d_i}{2\pi^2} \int dk \ k^2 \ \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{m_i^2 + k^2}}{T}\right)$

- Hadronic interactions dominated by resonance formation*
- Leading order in relativistic virial expansion ٠
- Matches well with lattice QCD below T_{pc} ٠
- Non-resonant interactions incorporated in extended descriptions (e.g. van der Waals HRG) ٠

HRG model and heavy-ion collisions:

Is the basis for the thermal model of particle production ٠

All bells and whistles implemented in open source codes, e.g. Thermal-FIST 👑 [VV, Stoecker, CPC 244, 295 (2019)]

Try it out! <u>https://github.com/vlvovch/Thermal-FIST</u> (latest release: version 1.5 on Mar 22, 2024)



16

Mapping heavy-ion collisions onto QCD phase diagram QMM^{2}

Fit hadron yields with the HRG model



17

Mapping heavy-ion collisions onto QCD phase diagram AMIN 25

Fit hadron yields with the HRG model



 $\sqrt{S_{NN}} \searrow \qquad \mu_B \nearrow$

Critical point, cumulants, and heavyion collisions

Critical point and fluctuations



Density fluctuations at macroscopic length scales

Critical opalescence



Unfortunately, we cannot do this in heavy-ion collisions

Event-by-event fluctuations and statistical mechanics

Consider a fluctuating number N

Cumulants: $G_N(t) = \ln \langle e^{tN} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$ $\kappa_2 = \langle (\Delta N)^2 \rangle = \sigma^2$ variance

skewness

kurtosis

 $\kappa_3 = \langle (\Delta N)^3 \rangle$ $\kappa_4 = \langle (\Delta N)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle (\Delta N^2) \rangle^2$

peak shape

asymmetry

width

Experiment:



Statistical mechanics:

Grand partition function

$$ln Z^{
m gce}(T,V,\mu) = ln \left[\sum_{N} e^{\mu N} Z^{
m ce}(T,V,N)
ight],$$

Cumulants measure chemical potential derivatives of the (QCD) equation of state





Example: (Nuclear) Liquid-gas transition



VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Example: Critical fluctuations in microscopic simulation M^{1}

V. Kuznietsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 105, 044903 (2022)

Classical molecular dynamics simulations of the **Lennard-Jones fluid** near Z(2) critical point ($T \approx 1.06T_c$, $n \approx n_c$) of the liquid-gas transition

Scaled variance in coordinate space acceptance $|z| < z^{max}$





Heavy-ion collisions: flow correlates p_z and z cuts



- Large fluctuations survive despite strong finite-size effects
- Need coordinate space cuts (collective flow helps)
- Here no finite-time effects

 $\tilde{\omega}^{\mathsf{coord}}$



Expectation from Calculations

N. Xu, CPOD 2016



Recalling recent CP estimates and the freeze-out curve



N. Xu, CPOD 2016

Measuring cumulants in heavy-ion collisions



Cumulants are extensive, $\kappa_n \sim V$, use ratios to cancel out the volume

$$\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle N \rangle}$$
, $\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2}$, $\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}$

Look for subtle critical point signals



Theory vs experiment: Challenges for fluctuations



Theory



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Lattice QCD@BNL

- Coordinate space
- In contact with the heat bath
- Conserved charges
- Uniform
- Fixed volume

Experiment



STAR event display

- Momentum space
- Expanding in vacuum
- Non-conserved particle numbers
- Inhomogenous
- Fluctuating volume

Comparing theory and experiment should be done very carefully

Theory vs experiment





Proton cumulants and RHIC-BES-II

RHIC-BES-II data STAR Collaboration, arXiv:2504.00817





Factorial cumulants: Linear combinations of cumulants that isolate multi-particle correlations

Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017) 28

RHIC-BES-II data STAR Collaboration, arXiv:2504.00817





Factorial cumulants: Linear combinations of cumulants that isolate multi-particle correlations

Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017) 28

RHIC-BES-II data

Based on M. Stephanov, arXiv:2410.02861

$$\omega_n = \hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_1$$



Non-critical baseline (hydro EV): VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, PRC 105, 014904 (2022)

- describes right side of the peak in \hat{C}_3
- signal relative to baseline:
 - positive $\hat{C}_2 \hat{C}_2^{baseline} > 0$
 - negative $\hat{C}_3 \hat{C}_3^{baseline} < 0$

Controlling the non-critical baseline is essential



Notation: Here we use κ_n for cumulants and \hat{C}_n for factorial cumulants, STAR uses the opposite

RHIC-BES-II data and **CP**





Equilibrium expectation

Exclusion plots

Exclude $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_2{<}0$ & $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_3{>}0$ regions on the phase diagram near CP



Adapted from Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017)

Freeze-out in the QGP? Memory effects? Or not related to CP at all? We're here at QM to find out! **Present/Future:** RHIC fixed target, NA61/SHINE, FAIR-CBM may be in the right spot!

Cold and Dense Matter EoS



Adapted from D. Blaschke



 $\frac{\mu_B}{T} \gg 1$

$$P = P(T, \mu_B, \mu_I, \mu_Y) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad P = P(\mathcal{E}, n_B, n_I, n_Y)$$



 $\rho_B \geq 40\rho_0$

Isospin Symmetric EoS from Heavy-Ion Collisions

Transport simulations (UrQMD/SMASH) with adjustable potentials to describe any EoS Bayesian inference from flow and mean pT measurements at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.7 - 4.5$ GeV



Overview on extracting EoS of Dense Matter from HICs: A. Sorensen et al, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 134 (2024) 104080

Isospin Asymmetric EoS from Neutron Stars





$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{Gm(r)[\epsilon(r) + P(r)]}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P(r)}{m(r)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2Gm(r)}{r}\right)^{-1}$$

Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov (TOV)

Mass:
$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r d\bar{r} \, \bar{r}^2 \epsilon(\bar{r})$$
 $P(R) = 0$ $M = m(R)$
Mass-radius relation

• Shapiro delay (maximum mass)

- NICER (M-R)
- LIGO (NS Mergers)

 $P(\epsilon)$: QCD + leptons (at T = 0)

charge neutrality: $\rho_Q = 0$ \longrightarrow more neutrons than protons

 $P(\epsilon) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{R})$

Additionally: tidal deformabilities (LIGO), gravitational waves from NS mergers

Bayesian Analysis





From Brandes, Weise, Kaiser, PRD 108, 094104 (2023) and many more in the literature

Exotic States of Matter: Quarkyonic Phase





Moss, Poberezhniuk, VV, PRC 111, 035204 (2025)

Koch et al., PRC 110, 025201 (2024)

Some open source tools



- Lattice-based equations of state
 - 4D Taylor: <u>https://github.com/cratti/EoS_BQS</u>
 - 4D Taylor expansion + HRG: <u>https://sites.google.com/view/qcdneos4d/</u>
- T'ExS + 3D-Ising: Lattice-based EoS with a tunable critical point
 - <u>https://zenodo.org/records/14637802</u>
- HRG (thermodynamics, hadron yields, thermal fits)
 - THERMUS-2.0: <u>https://github.com/thermus-project/THERMUS</u>
 - Thermal-FIST-1.5: <u>https://github.com/vlvovch/Thermal-FIST</u>
- MUSES Modular Unified Solver of the Equation of State
 - https://musesframework.io/
 - Compute and merge different equations of state for
 - heavy ions (HRG, 4D Taylor, Ising-2DTExS, Holographic)
 - neutron stars (ChEFT, CMF, Crust DFT, Leptons)
 - Observables for neutron stars (mass-radius,...) and heavy ions (thermal fits,...)
 - First version of calculation engine released few weeks ago!
 - <u>https://ce.musesframework.io/</u>



Summary and Outlook

- QCD equation of state
 - Well-controlled at small/moderate baryon densities with lattice QCD where the transition is a chiral crossover
 - Recent developments: CP at $T \sim 90-120$ MeV and $\mu_B \sim 500 650$ MeV?
- Proton cumulants are uniquely sensitive to the the CP but challenging to model dynamically in heavy-ion collisions
 - BES-II data are consistent with non-critical physics at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 20$ GeV but shows non-monotonic structures in factorial cumulants at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} < 10$ GeV
- Astrophysical observations increasingly constrain the EoS at $\mathsf{T}=\mathsf{0}$

Outlook:

- Interesting times ahead with upcoming data in baryon-dense regime (BES-II, RHIC-FXT, CBM)
- Toward unified descriptions of the QCD EoS in hot, cold and dense regimes

Thanks for your attention and enjoy QM2025!





Additional slides

QCD critical point from chiral criticality



Remnants of O(4) chiral criticality at $\mu_B = 0$ quite well established with lattice QCD



Physical quark masses away the chiral limit: Expect a Z(2) critical point at finite μ_B



Searching for singularities in the complex plane



Critical point:

- singularity in the partition function
- real μ_B axis



Above the critical temperature:

Yang-Lee edge singularities in the complex plane





- Extract YL edge singularity through (multi-point) Pade fits
- See if it approaches the real axis as temperatures decreases



CP Z(2) scaling inspired fit:

 $Im \mu_{LY} = c(T - T_{CEP})^{\Delta}$ Re $\mu_{LY} = \mu_{CEP} + a(T - T_{CEP}) + b(T - T_{CEP})^2$ Rough suggestion of CEP: $T \sim 90 \text{ MeV}$ $\mu_B \sim 600 \text{ MeV}$ $T \sim 90-100 \text{ MeV}, \ \mu_B \sim 500-600 \text{ MeV}$

```
D(T - TCEP)
```

NB: many things have to go right, systematic error still large

A. Adam et al. (Wuppertal-Budapest), LATTICE2024

Searching for singularities in the complex plane

Critical point is a singularity on the real μ_B axis, which turns into **Yang-Lee edge singularities** above T_c in the complex plane

M. Stephanov, PRD 73, 094508 (2006)

Strategy: Extract YL edge singularity through (multi-point) Pade fits and see if it approaches the real axis as temperatures decreases



D.A. Clarke et al. (Bielefeld-Parma), arXiv:2405.10196; G. Basar, PRC 110, 015203 (2024)

many things have to go right, systematic error still large (up to 100%)

Effective QCD theories anchored with lattice QCD





- All in excellent agreement with lattice QCD at $\mu_B = 0$ and predict QCD critical point in a similar ballpark of $\mu_B/T \sim 5-6$
- Other estimates:
 - Finite-size scaling of heavy-ion observables [R. Lacey, PRL 114, 142301 (2015); A. Sorensen, P. Sorensen, arXiv:2405.10278]
 - Extrapolation of Yang-Lee edge singularities [D.A. Clarke et al. (Bielefeld-Parma), arXiv:2405.10196; G. Basar, PRC 110, 015203 (2024)]

New CP constraints from lattice QCD





Proton cumulants at high energy



Second-order cumulants such as $\kappa_2[p-\bar{p}]/\langle p+\bar{p}\rangle$:



O. Savchuk et al., PLB 827, 136983 (2022)

- Largely understood driven baryon as by conservation
- baryon annihilation(\nearrow) vs local conservation(\checkmark)
 - Additional measurement of $\kappa_2[p+\bar{p}]$ can resolve it
- For some quantities like net-charge (or netpion/net-kaon) fluctuations, resonance decays are improtant





are baryons even

High-order cumulants: probe remnants of chiral criticality Friman et al., EPJC 71, 1694 (2011) 2 1.0 negative κ_6 of baryons ideal Pb-Pb, 2.76 TeV 0.8 barvons p₊ integrated 0.6 κ_6/κ_2 $\kappa_6^{\prime}/\kappa_2^{\prime}$ -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 T = 160 MeV -0.8 = 155 Me\ -1.0 ∟ 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.4 α VV et al., PLB 811, 135868 (2020)

RHIC 200 GeV: hints of negative $\kappa_6 < 0$ (protons)



Exact charge conservation



- global, $\sigma_y \rightarrow \infty \leftrightarrow V_C = V_{\text{total}}$ - local, $\sigma_y = 2.02 \leftrightarrow V_C = 5 \text{ dV/dy}$ - local, $\sigma_y = 1.20 \leftrightarrow V_C = 3 \text{ dV/dy}$

− local, $\sigma_y = 0.64 \leftrightarrow V_c = 1.6 \text{ dV/dy}$ − local, $\sigma_y = 0.40 \leftrightarrow V_c = 1 \text{ dV/dy}$

---- Gaussian

0.8

1.0

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, PLB 811, 135868 (2020); VV, arXiv:2409.01397

LHC: Y_{cut}

1.0

*k*₂[B−<u>B</u>]/(B+B) 9.0 9.0

0.2

0.0

0.5 1 1.5 2

0.2

0.4

0.6

α

Utilizing the canonical partition function in thermodynamic limit compute **n-point density correlators**

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) &= \rho(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \\ \mathcal{C}_{2}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) &= \chi_{2}\delta(\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}) - \frac{\chi_{2}}{V} \\ \text{local correlation} \quad \text{balancing contribution} \\ (\text{e.g. baryon conservation}) \\ \mathcal{C}_{3}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}) &= \chi_{3}\delta_{1,2,3} - \frac{\chi_{3}}{V}[\delta_{1,2} + \delta_{1,3} + \delta_{2,3}] + 2\frac{\chi_{3}}{V^{2}} \\ \text{local correlation} \quad \text{balancing contributions} \\ \mathcal{C}_{4}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathbf{r}_{4}) &= \chi_{4}\delta_{1,2,3,4} - \frac{\chi_{4}}{V}[\delta_{1,2,3} + \delta_{1,2,4} + \delta_{1,3,4} + \delta_{2,3,4}] - \frac{(\chi_{3})^{2}}{\chi_{2}V}[\delta_{1,2}\delta_{3,4} + \delta_{1,3}\delta_{2,4} + \delta_{1,4}\delta_{2,3}] \\ \text{local correlation} \quad + \frac{1}{V^{2}}\left[\chi_{4} + \frac{(\chi_{3})^{2}}{\chi_{2}}\right][\delta_{1,2} + \delta_{1,3} + \delta_{1,4} + \delta_{2,3} + \delta_{2,4} + \delta_{3,4}] - \frac{3}{V^{3}}\left[\chi_{4} + \frac{(\chi_{3})^{2}}{\chi_{2}}\right] \\ \text{balancing contributions} \end{split}$$

Integrating the correlator yields cumulant inside a subsystem of the canonical ensemble

$$\kappa_n[B_{V_s}] = \int_{\mathbf{r}_1 \in V_s} d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \int_{\mathbf{r}_n \in V_s} d\mathbf{r}_n \, \mathcal{C}_n(\{\mathbf{r}_i\})$$

Momentum space: Fold with Maxwell-Boltzmann in LR frame and integrate out the coordinates

Hydro EV: Non-critical hydro baseline at RHIC-BES



VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

- (3+1)-D viscous hydrodynamics evolution (MUSIC-3.0)
 - Collision geometry-based 3D initial state [Shen, Alzhrani, PRC 102, 014909 (2020)]
 - Crossover equation of state based on lattice QCD

[Monnai, Schenke, Shen, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024907 (2019)]

- Non-critical contributions computed at particlization ($\epsilon_{sw} = 0.26 \text{ GeV/fm}^{-3}$)
 - QCD-like baryon number distribution (χ_n^B) via **excluded volume** b = 1 fm³ [VV, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 103, 044903 (2021)]
 - Exact global baryon conservation* (and other charges)
 - Subensemble acceptance method 2.0 (analytic) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014903 (2022)]
 - or FIST sampler (Monte Carlo) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 106, 064906 (2022)] <u>https://github.com/vlvovch/fist-sampler</u>
- Included: baryon conservation, repulsion, kinematical cuts
- Absent: critical point, local conservation, initial-state/volume fluctuations, hadronic phase

*If baryon conservation is the only effect (no other correlations), non-critical baseline can be computed without hydro Braun-Munzinger, Friman, Redlich, Rustamov, Stachel, NPA 1008, 122141 (2021)





Coordinate vs Momentum space





- 1. Dynamical model calculations of critical fluctuations
 - Fluctuating hydrodynamics (hydro+) and (non-equilibrium) evolution of fluctuations
 - Equation of state with a tunable critical point [P. Parotto et al, PRC 101, 034901 (2020); J. Karthein et al., EPJ Plus 136, 621 (2021)]
 - Generalized Cooper-Frye particlization [M. Pradeep, et al., PRD 106, 036017 (2022); PRL 130, 162301 (2023)]

Alternatives at high μ_B : hadronic transport/molecular dynamics with a critical point [A. Sorensen, V. Koch, PRC 104, 034904 (2021); V. Kuznietsov et al., PRC 105, 044903 (2022)]

2. Deviations from precision calculations of non-critical fluctuations

- Non-critical baseline is not flat [Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA 1008, 122141 (2021)]
- Include essential non-critical contributions to (net-)proton number cumulants
- Exact baryon conservation + hadronic interactions (hard core repulsion)
- Based on realistic hydrodynamic simulations tuned to bulk data [VV, C. Shen, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)]







Factorial cumulants \hat{C}_n vs ordinary cumulants C_n



Factorial cumulants: ~irreducible n-particle correlations

$$\hat{C}_n \sim \langle N(N-1)(N-2) \dots \rangle_c$$

 $\hat{C}_1 = C_1$

 $\hat{C}_2 = C_2 - C_1$

 $\hat{C}_3 = C_3 - 3C_2 + 2C_1$

 $\hat{C}_4 = C_4 - 6C_3 + 11C_2 - 6C_1$

 $C_1 = \hat{C}_1$

 $C_2 = \hat{C}_2$

 $C_3 = \hat{C}_3$

 $C_4 = \hat{C}_4$

Ordinary cumulants: mix correls. of different orders

 $C_n \sim \langle \delta N^n \rangle_c$

 $\hat{C}_{2} + \hat{C}_{1}$ $\hat{C}_3 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1$ $\hat{C}_4 + 6\hat{C}_3 + 7\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1$

[Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017); Kitazawa, Luo, PRC 96, 024910 (2017); C. Pruneau, PRC 100, 034905 (2019)]

Factorial cumulants and different effects

- Baryon conservation [Bzdak, Koch, Skokov, EPJC '17]
- Excluded volume [VV et al, PLB '17]
- Volume fluctuations [Holzman et al., arXiv:2403.03598]
- Critical point [Ling, Stephanov, PRC '16]
- $\hat{C}_n^{\mathrm{cons}} \propto (\hat{C}_1)^n / \langle N_{\mathrm{tot}}
 angle^{n-1}$ small $\hat{C}_n^{\sf EV} \propto b^n$ small
- proton vs baryon $\hat{C}_n^B \sim 2^n \times \hat{C}_n^p$ same sign! [Kitazawa, Asakawa, PRC '12]
- $\hat{C}_n^{CF} \sim (\hat{C}_1)^n \kappa_n[V]$ depends on volume cumulants
- $\hat{C}_2^{CP} \sim \xi^2$, $\hat{C}_3^{CP} \sim \xi^{4.5}$, $\hat{C}_4^{CP} \sim \xi^7$ large

Hints from RHIC-BES-I



VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

Subtracting the hydrodynamic non-critical baseline



Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II and CP





Exclusion plots

Exclude $\hat{C}_2 < 0$ & $\hat{C}_3 > 0$ regions on the phase diagram near CP



 μ_B

Adapted from Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017) and based on the model from VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Mukherjee, Venugopalan, Yin, PRC 92, 034912 (2015)

Freeze-out of fluctuations on the QGP side of the crossover?

Interplay with nuclear liquid-gas transition





VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 182301 (2017)

Increasingly relevant at lower energies probed through RHIC-FXT

Lower energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 7.7 \text{ GeV}$



- Volume fluctuations/centrality selection appear to play an important role
 - UrQMD is useful for understanding basic systematics associated with it
- Indications for enhanced scaled variance, $\kappa_2/\kappa_1 > 1$
- κ_4/κ_2 negative and described by UrQMD (purely hadronic?), note -0.5<y<0 instead of |y|<0.5

Proper understanding of $\kappa_2/\kappa_1 > 1$ in both HADES and STAR-FXT is missing



Dense matter EoS from flow measurements

- Use hadronic transport (UrQMD and SMASH) with adjustable mean field to use a flexible EoS
- Extract the EoS from proton flow measurements



M. Kuttan, Steinheimer, Zhou, Stoecker, PRL 131, 202303 (2023)

Oliinychenko, Sorensen, Koch, McLerran, PRC 108, 034908 (2023)

UNIVERSITY OF

Other observables

0.000

-0.001

-0.003

-0.004

-0.005

0

(70-5000 (V0-500)



- Azimuthal correlations of protons
 - points to repulsion at RHIC-BES



• Spinodal/critical point enhancement of density fluctuations and light nuclei production



- Proton intermittency
 - No structure indicating power-law seen by NA61/SHINE
- Directed flow, speed of sound

Consistency in understanding all the observables is required

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Sample ideal HRG model at particlization with exact conservation of baryon number using Thermal-FIST and run through hadronic afterburner UrQMD



Dependence on the switching energy density



