Proton cumulants and EoS theory overview

Volodymyr Vovchenko (University of Houston)

2024 RHIC/AGS Annual Users' Meeting

June 12, 2024

2024 RHIC/AGS ANNUAL USERS' MEETING

A New Era of Discovery

Guided by the New Long Range Plan for Nuclear Science

June 11-14, 2024





UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

What we know



- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{\rm B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{\rm B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured
- Chiral crossover at $\mu_B = 0$

QCD under extreme conditions





- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{
 m B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{
 m B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured
- Chiral crossover at $\mu_B = 0$ which may turn into a *first-order phase transition* at finite μ_B

QCD under extreme conditions





- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{
 m B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{
 m B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured
- Chiral crossover at $\mu_B = 0$ which may turn into a *first-order phase transition* at finite μ_B

Key question: Is there a QCD critical point and how to find it?

Critical point predictions as of a few years ago



Including the possibility that the QCD critical point does not exist at all de Forcrand, Philipsen, JHEP 01, 077 (2007); VV, Steinheimer, Philipsen, Stoecker, PRD 97, 114030 (2018)

UNIVERSITY OF

Extrapolations from lattice QCD at $\mu_B = 0$

UNIVERS HOUS

Ideally, find the critical point through first-principle lattice QCD simulations at finite μ_B

• Challenging (sign problem), but perhaps not impossible? [Borsanyi et al., Phys. Rev. D 107, 091503L (2023)]

Taylor expansion + various resummations and extrapolation schemes from $\mu_B = 0$



alternative expansion scheme



Padé approximants

[Borsanyi et al. (WB), Phys. Rev. D 105, 114504 (2022)]

[Bollweg et al. (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. D 108, 014510 (2023)]

No indications for the strengthening of the chiral crossover or critical point signals Disfavors QCD critical point at $\frac{\mu_B}{T} < 3$

Extrapolations from $\mu_B = 0$: 4D-*T*ExS EoS

4D-TExS EoS: alternative expansion scheme in three chemical potentials [J. Jahan, talk at SQM2024]

- Maps densities at finite mu's to susceptibilities at mu = 0
- Extended density coverage (whole RHIC-BES)
- Assumes no CP

$$X_1^{\theta,\phi}(T,\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\overline{X}_1^{\theta,\phi}(\hat{\mu})}{\overline{X}_2^{\theta,\phi}(0)} \times X_2^{\theta,\phi}\left(T^{\prime\,\theta,\phi}(T,\hat{\mu}),0\right)$$



$$\hat{\mu}_{B} = \hat{\mu} \cdot \cos(\theta) \qquad \qquad \hat{\mu} = \sqrt{\hat{\mu}_{B}^{2} + \hat{\mu}_{Q}^{2} + \hat{\mu}_{S}^{2}}$$
$$\hat{\mu}_{Q} = \hat{\mu} \cdot \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \iff \qquad \phi = \arccos\left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_{Q}}{\sqrt{\hat{\mu}_{Q}^{2} + \hat{\mu}_{S}^{2}}}\right)$$
$$\hat{\mu}_{S} = \hat{\mu} \cdot \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) \qquad \qquad \theta = \arccos\left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_{B}}{\hat{\mu}}\right)$$

Required for BQS hydro simulations

[Plumberg, Almaalol et al., arXiv:2405.09648]



UNIVERSITY OF

Searching for singularities in the complex plane



• See if it approaches the real axis as temperatures decreases



Critical Point: 3D-Ising scaling inspired fit:

$$Im \mu_{LY} = c(T - T_{CEP})^{\Delta}$$

$$Re \mu_{LY} = \mu_{CEP} + a(T - T_{CEP}) + b(T - T_{CEP})^{2}$$

$$T \sim 90-110 \text{ MeV}, \ \mu_{B} \sim 400-600 \text{ MeV}$$

NB: many things have to go right, systematic error still very large (up to 100%), no continuum limit (likely large cut-off effects)

UNIVERSITY OF

Effective QCD theories predictions





All in excellent agreement with lattice QCD at $\mu_B = 0$ and predict QCD critical point in a similar ballpark of $\mu_B/T \sim 5-6$

If true, reachable in heavy-ion collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 3-5$ GeV

Search for critical point with heavy-ion collisions

Control parameters

- Collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.4 5020 \text{ GeV}$
 - Scan the QCD phase diagram
- Size of the collision region
 - Expect stronger signal in larger systems

Measurements

 Final hadron abundances and momentum distributions event-by-event

Chemical freeze-out curve and CP

- Sets lower bound on the temperature of the CP
- **Caveats:** strangeness neutrality ($\mu_S \neq 0$), uncertainty in the freeze-out curve



A. Lysenko, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, VV, in preparation





Cumulants measure chemical potential derivatives of the (QCD) equation of state

• (QCD) critical point: large correlation length and fluctuations



M. Stephanov, PRL '09, '11 Energy scans at RHIC (STAR) and CERN-SPS (NA61/SHINE)

$$\kappa_2 \sim \xi^2$$
, $\kappa_3 \sim \xi^{4.5}$, $\kappa_4 \sim \xi^7$

 $\xi o \infty$

Looking for enhanced fluctuations and non-monotonicities

Other uses of cumulants:

- QCD degrees of freedom Jeon, Koch, PRL 85, 2076 (2000) Asakawa, Heinz, Muller, PRL 85, 2072 (2000)
- Extracting the speed of sound A. Sorensen et al., PRL 127, 042303 (2021)
- Conservation volume VV, Donigus, Stoecker, PRC 100, 054906 (2019)

Example: (Nuclear) Liquid-gas transition



VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Critical opalescence



 $\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2 \sim \langle N \rangle \sim 10^{23}$ in equilibrium



Example: Critical fluctuations in a microscopic simulation

0.25

0.50

α

0.75

1.0

V. Kuznietsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 105, 044903 (2022)

g.c.e.

N = 400

Classical molecular dynamics simulations of the Lennard-Jones fluid near Z(2) critical point ($T \approx 1.06T_c$, $n \approx n_c$) of the liquid-gas transition

Scaled variance in coordinate space acceptance $|z| < z^{max}$



- Large fluctuations survive despite strong finite-size effects
- Need coordinate space cuts (collective flow helps)
- Here no finite-time effects

Collective flow and finite-time effects explored in V. Kuznietsov et al., arXiv:2404.00476



Heavy-ion collisions: flow correlates p_z and z cuts z (or η_s)



Measuring cumulants in heavy-ion collisions



Cumulants are extensive, $\kappa_n \sim V$, use ratios to cancel out the volume

$$\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle N \rangle}$$
, $\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2}$, $\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}$

Look for subtle critical point signals (tails of the distribution)

Theory vs experiment: Challenges for fluctuations



Theory



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Lattice QCD@BNL

- Coordinate space
- In contact with the heat bath
- Conserved charges
- Uniform
- Fixed volume

Experiment



STAR event display

- Momentum space
- Expanding in vacuum
- Non-conserved particle numbers
- Inhomogenous
- Fluctuating volume

Need dynamical description

Coordinate vs Momentum space

V. Kuznietsov et al., arXiv:2404.00476





- **1.** Dynamical model calculations of critical fluctuations
 - Fluctuating hydrodynamics (hydro+) and (non-equilibrium) evolution of fluctuations
 - Equation of state with a tunable critical point [P. Parotto et al, PRC 101, 034901 (2020); J. Karthein et al., EPJ Plus 136, 621 (2021)]
 - Generalized Cooper-Frye particlization [M. Pradeep, et al., PRD 106, 036017 (2022); PRL 130, 162301 (2023)]

Alternatives at high μ_B : hadronic transport/molecular dynamics with a critical point [A. Sorensen, V. Koch, PRC 104, 034904 (2021); V. Kuznietsov et al., PRC 105, 044903 (2022)]

- 2. Deviations from precision calculations of non-critical fluctuations
 - Non-critical baseline is not flat [Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA 1008, 122141 (2021)]
 - Include essential non-critical contributions to (net-)proton number cumulants
 - Exact baryon conservation + hadronic interactions (hard core repulsion)
 - Based on realistic hydrodynamic simulations tuned to bulk data [VV, C. Shen, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)]







Equation of state with a tunable critical point

BEST equation of state: P. Parotto et al, PRC 101, 034901 (2020)

- 3D-Ising CP mapped onto the QCD
- Tunable CP location along the pseudocritical line
- Matched to lattice data at $\mu_B = 0$

New development: M. Kahangirwe et al, PRD 109, 094046 (2024)

Match to alternative expansion scheme from lattice QCD instead of Taylor expansion, extending the range to whole BES range





$$p(T, \mu_B) = p^{\text{non-Ising}}(T, \mu_B) + p^{\text{Ising}}(T, \mu_B)$$

regular critical

Alternative ways to embed the critical point:

[J. Kapusta, T. Welle, C. Plumberg, PRC 106, 014909 (2022); PRC 106, 044901 (2022)]

Equilibrium expectations for fluctuations:

 $[J.M. \ Karthein \ et \ al., \ 2402.18738; \ SQM2024]$



17

Non-equilibrium evolution and critical slowing down

- Non-equilibrium evolution of (non-)Gaussian fluctuations
 - Strong suppression of critical point signals due to critical slowing down and (local) conservation



Generalized Cooper-Frye particlization: maximum entropy freeze-out of fluctuations

[M. Pradeep, M. Stephanov, PRL 130, 162301 (2023)]

 Diffusion and cross-correlations of multiple conserved charges and energy-momentum, balancing conservation laws
 [O. Savchuk, S. Pratt, PRC 109, 024910 (2024)]

Calculation of non-critical contributions



VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

- (3+1)-D viscous hydrodynamics evolution (MUSIC-3.0)
 - Collision geometry-based 3D initial state [Shen, Alzhrani, PRC 102, 014909 (2020)]
 - Crossover equation of state based on lattice QCD
 [Monnai, Schenke, Shen, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024907 (2019)]
 - Cooper-Frye particlization at $\epsilon_{sw} = 0.26 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$
- Non-critical contributions are computed at particlization
 - QCD-like baryon number distribution via excluded volume b = 1 fm³
 [VV, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 103, 044903 (2021)]
 - Exact global baryon conservation* (and other charges)
 - Subensemble acceptance method 2.0 (analytic) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014903 (2022)]
 - or FIST sampler (Monte Carlo) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 106, 064906 (2022)] https://github.com/vlvovch/fist-sampler
- Absent: critical point, local conservation, initial-state/volume fluctuations

*If baryon conservation is the only effect (no other correlations), non-critical baseline can be computed without hydro Braun-Munzinger, Friman, Redlich, Rustamov, Stachel, NPA 1008, 122141 (2021)



RHIC-BES-I: Net proton cumulant ratios (MUSIC)



VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)



- Data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 20$ GeV consistent with non-critical physics (BQS conservation and repulsion)
- Effect from baryon conservation is stronger than repulsion but both are required at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 20$ GeV
- Deviations from baseline at lower energies?

Hints from RHIC-BES-I



VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

Subtracting the hydro baseline



RHIC-BES-II data A. Pandav, CPOD2024





- No smoking gun signature for CP
- More structure seen in factorial cumulants
 - What are they?

UNIVERSITY OF

Factorial cumulants \hat{C}_n vs ordinary cumulants C_n



Factorial cumulants: ~irreducible n-particle corr.

 $\hat{C}_n \sim \langle N(N-1)(N-2) \dots \rangle_c$

 $C_1 = \hat{C}_1$ $C_2 = \hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1$ $C_3 = \hat{C}_3 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1$ $C_4 = \hat{C}_4 + 6\hat{C}_3 + 7\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1$ **Ordinary cumulants:** mix corrs. of different orders

$$C_n \sim \langle \delta N^n \rangle_c$$

$$\hat{C}_1 = C_1$$

 $\hat{C}_2 = C_2 - C_1$
 $\hat{C}_3 = C_3 - 3C_2 + 2C_1$
 $\hat{C}_4 = C_4 - 6C_3 + 11C_2 - 6C_1$

[Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017)]

Factorial cumulants and different physics mechanisms

- Baryon conservation [Bzdak, Koch, Skokov, EPJC '17]
- Excluded volume [VV et al, PLB '17]
- Volume fluctuations [Holzman et al., arXiv:2403.03598]
- Critical point [Ling, Stephanov, PRC '16]

 $\hat{C}_n^{\rm cons} \propto \alpha^n$ small $\hat{C}_n^{\sf EV} \propto b^n$ small

- proton vs baryon $\hat{C}_n^B \sim 2^n \times \hat{C}_n^p$ same sign! [Kitazawa, Asakawa, PRC '12]
- $\hat{C}_{n}^{CF} \sim (\hat{C}_{1})^{n} \kappa_{n}[V]$ depends on Vfluc
- $\hat{C}_{2}^{CP} \sim \xi^{2}, \quad \hat{C}_{3}^{CP} \sim \xi^{4.5}, \quad \hat{C}_{4}^{CP} \sim \xi^{7}$ large

From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):

$$\omega_n = \hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_1$$



Bzdak et al review 1906.00936

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

UNIVERSITY OF

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II



From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):



baseline (hydro):

VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, PRC 105, 014904 (2022)

Bzdak et al review 1906.00936

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II



From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):



baseline (hydro):

VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, PRC 105, 014904 (2022)

- describes right side of the peak in \hat{C}_3
- implies
 - positive \hat{C}_2 baseline > 0
 - *negative* \hat{C}_3 baseline < 0

Bzdak et al review 1906.00936

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II and CP



Factorial cumulants in Ising model



Adapted from Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017)

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II and CP





How it may look like in $T - \mu_B$ plane



Based on QvdW model of nuclear matter VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Freeze-out of fluctuations of the QGP side of the crossover?

Nuclear liquid-gas transition



HRG with attractive and repulsive interactions among baryons



VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 182301 (2017)

Factorial cumulants and nuclear liquid-gas transition

Calculation in a van der Waals-like HRG model



VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, EPJA 54, 16 (2018)

Shaded regions: negative values

UNIVERSITY OF

Factorial cumulants and nuclear liquid-gas transition

Calculation in a van der Waals-like HRG model along the freeze-out curve*

Proton/antiproton 0.05 factorial cumulant ratios 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.05 0.05 0.10 0.10 0.15 $(1)\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}$ STAR -0.1 -0.20 5 10 50 100 BES-II BES-I -0.2 Factorial Cumulant Ratios 0.08 0-5% Au+Au Collisions (2) $\frac{K_3}{K_1}$ 0.06 (anti-) proton, lyl < 0.5 0.4 < p < 2.0 GeV/c proton \hat{C}_3/\hat{C}_1 0.04 0.05 0.02 0.00 -0.02 100 5 10 50 $0.5 - (3) \frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_4}$ 0.6 0.25 0.4 proton \hat{C}_4/\hat{C}_1 0.2 0.0 -0.25 -0.2 20 100 10 5 10 50 100 Collision Energy √s_{NN} (GeV) $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ [GeV]

VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, EPJA 54, 16 (2018)

NB: The calculation is grand-canonical *Poberezhnyuk et al., PRC 100, 054904 (2019)





Summary



- QCD equation of state
 - Well controlled at small baryon densities with lattice QCD where the transition is a chiral crossover
 - New extrapolation schemes extend the coverage to whole BES range assuming there is no CP
 - New developments point to the possible CP location at $T \sim 90-120$ MeV and $\mu_B \sim 500 650$ MeV
- Proton cumulants are uniquely sensitive to the the CP but challenging to model dynamically
 - factorial cumulants are especially advantageous
- BES-II data
 - Consistent with non-critical physics at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 20$ GeV (as was BES-I data)
 - Shows (non-monotonic) structure in factorial cumulants
 - Positive \hat{C}_2 and negative \hat{C}_3 after subtracting non-critical baseline at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} < 10$ GeV
 - Improved understanding of non-critical effects, volume fluctuations, and nuclear interactions is crucial

Thanks for your attention!

Backup slides

Lower energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 7.7 \text{ GeV}$





We may want to understand κ_2 first

Lower energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 7.7$ GeV



- Volume fluctuations/centrality selection appear to play an important role
 - UrQMD is useful for understanding basic systematics associated with it
- Indications for enhanced scaled variance, $\kappa_2/\kappa_1{>}1$
- κ_4/κ_2 negative and described by UrQMD (purely hadronic?), note -0.5<y<0 instead of |y|<0.5

Proper understanding of $\kappa_2/\kappa_1 > 1$ in both HADES and STAR-FXT is missing

Other observables



- Azimuthal correlations of protons
 - points to repulsion at RHIC-BES









- Proton intermittency
 - No structure indicating power-law seen by NA61/SHINE
- Directed flow, speed of sound

Consistency in understanding all the observables is required

Hunting for the QCD critical point with lattice QCD



Remnants of O(4) chiral criticality at $\mu_B = 0$ quite well established with lattice QCD



Physical quark masses away the chiral limit: Expect a Z(2) critical point at finite μ_B



Non-critical cumulants: Analytic vs Monte Carlo





Non-critical cumulants





UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Sample ideal HRG model at particlization with exact conservation of baryon number using Thermal-FIST and run through hadronic afterburner UrQMD



Dependence on the switching energy density





Acceptance dependence of two-particle correlations



- Changing y_{max} slope at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 14.5$ GeV?
- Volume fluctuations? [Skokov, Friman, Redlich, PRC '13]
 - $C_2/C_1 += C_1 * \Delta v^2$
 - Can improve low energies but spoil high energies?
- Attractive interactions?
 - Could work if baryon repulsion turns into attraction in the high- μ_B regime
 - Critical point?



Net baryon fluctuations at LHC

 Global baryon conservation distorts the cumulant ratios already for one unit of rapidity acceptance

e.g.
$$\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{T=160MeV}^{\text{GCE}} \simeq 0.67 \neq \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}=1}^{\text{HIC}} \simeq 0.56$$

 Neglecting thermal smearing, effects of global conservation can be described analytically via SAM

$$\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\kappa_2^{\text{gce}}}{\langle B + \bar{B} \rangle}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{\Delta Y_{\text{acc}}}{9.6}, \quad \beta \equiv 1 - \alpha$$
$$\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2} = (1 - 3\alpha\beta) \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B},$$
$$\frac{\kappa_6}{\kappa_2} = [1 - 5\alpha\beta(1 - \alpha\beta)] \frac{\chi_6^B}{\chi_2^B} - 10\alpha(1 - 2\alpha)^2 \beta \left(\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\right)^2$$

• Effect of resonance decays is negligible



VV, Koch, arXiv:2012.09954



• Thermal smearing distorts the signal at $\Delta Y_{accept} \leq 1$. Net baryons converge to model-independent SAM result at larger ΔY_{accept}

• net baryon \neq net proton, e.g.

Net baryon vs net proton by

$$\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}\Big|_{\Delta Y_{\rm acc}=1}^{\rm HIC} \simeq 0.56 \neq \frac{\chi_4^P}{\chi_2^P}\Big|_{\Delta Y_{\rm acc}=1}^{\rm HIC} \simeq 0.83$$

- Baryon cumulants can be reconstructed from proton cumulants via binomial (un)folding based on isospin randomization [Kitazawa, Asakawa, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 021901]
 - Requires the use of joint factorial moments, only experiment can do it model-independently

unfolding







VV, Koch, arXiv:2012.09954