

Computational Physics (PHYS6350)

Lecture 3: Interpolation



Instructor: Volodymyr Vovchenko (vvovchenko@uh.edu)

Course materials: <u>https://github.com/vlvovch/PHYS6350-ComputationalPhysics</u> **Online textbook:** <u>https://vovchenko.net/computational-physics/</u>

Interpolation

Sometimes we know the value of some function f(x) at a discrete set of points $x_0, x_1, ..., x_N$, but we do not know how to (easily) calculate its value at an arbitrary x

Examples:

- Physical measurements
- Long numerical calculations

Interpolation is a mathematical technique used to estimate values between a discrete set of known data points. It is also employed to approximate an unknown function based on its known values over a certain range.

Two steps:

- 1. Fitting the interpolating function to data points
- 2. Evaluating the interpolating function at a target point x

Reference: Chapter 3 of *Numerical Recipes Third Edition* by W.H. Press et al.

Interpolation

Recall the function f(x) = sin(x)

Imagine that we cannot easily compute sin(x) at arbitrary x and all we are given is its values at some finite number of points



Now let us consider different methods of interpolating the function

Nearest-neighbor interpolation

Simply assign the value of the closest data point to x, i.e.

$$f(x) = f_{nn}(x)$$
 where $f_{nn}(x) = y_i$

Where *i* is chosen such that |x - xi| is the smallest among all *i*.



Nearest-neighbor interpolation

In Python:

```
def f nearestneighbor int(x, xdata, fdata):
    ""Returns the nearest-neighbor interpolation of a function at point x.
    xdata and ydata are the data points used in interpolation.
    xdata is assumed to be in sorted in ascending order."""
    ind = np.searchsorted(xdata, x) # Search for the interval for point x
    if (ind == 0):
        return xdata[0]
    if (ind == len(xdata)):
        return xdata[-1]
    x0,f0 = xdata[ind-1],fdata[ind-1]
    x1,f1 = xdata[ind],fdata[ind]
    if (abs(x-x0) < abs(x-x1)):
        return f0
    else:
        return f1
xcalc = np.linspace(0, 6, 100)
fcalc = [f nearestneighbor int(xin,xdat,fdat) for xin in xcalc]
```

Advantages:

- Very simple
- Easy to generalize to multiple dimensions

Nearest-neighbor interpolation 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 f(x) 0.00 -0.25-0.50data -0.75 nearest-neighbor interpolation true function -1.003 5 6 х

Disadvantages:

- Limited accuracy
- Better & simple options available

Let us have the data points (x_0,y_0) and (x_1,y_1)

Linear interpolant is a straight line between these points

Use it to calculate the function value at any $x \in [x_0, x_1]$

$$f_{\text{lerp}}(x) = y_0 + \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}(y_1 - y_0)$$

For a larger set of points $x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_N$, find the interval (x_i, x_{i+1}) enveloping x and use the linear interpolant formula



Credit: Wikipedia

$$f_{\text{lerp}}(x) = y_i + \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i}(y_{i+1} - y_i)$$

Linear interpolation

In Python:

def	<pre>linear_int(x,x0,f0,x1,f1): """Returns the value of a function at point x</pre>	
	<pre>through linear interpolation between points (x0,y0) and (x1,y1).""" return f0 + (f1 - f0) * (x-x0) / (x1-x0)</pre>	1.00
		1.00
def	<pre>f_linear_int(x, xdata, fdata):</pre>	0.75
	""Returns linear interpolation of a function at point x.	
	xdata and ydata are the data points used in interpolation.	0.50
	ind = np. search sorted (xdata, x) # Search the right interval for point x	
	<pre>if (ind == 0):</pre>	0.25
	<pre>if ((xdata[0] - x) > 1e-12):</pre>	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
	print("x = ", x, " is outside the interpolation range [",xdata[0],",",xdata[-1],"]")	<u> </u>
	ind = ind + 1	-
	if ((x - xd)):	-0.25
	<pre>nrint("x = " x " is outside the interpolation range [".xdata[0] " ".xdata[-1]."]")</pre>	
	ind = ind - 1	-0.50
	x0,f0 = xdata[ind-1],fdata[ind-1]	
	<pre>x1,f1 = xdata[ind],fdata[ind]</pre>	-0.75
	<pre>return linear_int(x,x0,f0,x1,f1)</pre>	
# 0	alculate the values of $f(x)$ using the linear interpolation	-1.00
# C	lc = np.linspace(0.6.100)	
fca	<pre>lc = [f linear int(xin,xdat,fdat) for xin in xcalc]</pre>	

Advantages:

- Simple
- Generalizes to multiple dimensions
- More accurate than nearest-neighbor appr.

Linear interpolation data linear interpolation true function 2 0 1 3 5 4 6 х

Disadvantages:

- Limited accuracy compared to polynomials
- Not good for derivatives

Polynomial interpolation (Lagrange form)

Theorem: There exists a *unique* polynomial of order *n* that interpolates through n+1 data points $(x_0, y_0)_{,} (x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)$

How to build such a polynomial?

Consider *Lagrange basis functions*:

$$L_j(x) = \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{x - x_k}{x_j - x_k}$$

Easy to see that for $x = x_k$ one has $L_j(x_k) = \delta_{kj}$

Therefore:

$$f(x) \approx p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} y_j L_j(x)$$



Polynomial interpolation

For our example $f(x) = \sin(x)$ Polynomial interpolation sin(x)Х 1.00 data 0 0. polynomial interpolation 0.75 --- true function 0.841471 1 0.50 0.9092974 2 0.25 0.14112 3 f(x) 0.00 -0.7568025 4 -0.25 -0.95892435 -0.50 6 -0.2794155-0.75 one obtains -1.002 3 5 6 0 1 4 $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{6} y_j L_j(x)$ $= \sum_{j=0}^{6} \sin(x_j) \prod_{k\neq j}^{6} \frac{x - x_j}{x_k - x_j} \text{ Lagrange form}$ х $= -0.0001521x^{6} - 0.003130x^{5} + 0.07321x^{4} - 0.3577x^{3} + 0.2255x^{2} + 0.9038x.$ **Canonical form**

In practice, the Lagrange form is more stable with respect to round-off errors

Polynomial interpolation

In Python:

```
def Lnj(x,n,j,xdata):
    """Lagrange basis function."""
    ret = 1.
    for k in range(0, len(xdata)):
        if (k != j):
            ret *= (x - xdata[k]) / (xdata[j] - xdata[k])
    return ret
```

```
def f_poly_int(x, xdata, fdata):
    """Returns the polynomial interpolation of a function at point x.
    xdata and ydata are the data points used in interpolation."""
    ret = 0.
    n = len(xdata) - 1
    for j in range(0, n+1):
        ret += fdata[j] * Lnj(x,n,j,xdata)
    return ret
```

```
xpoly = np.linspace(0,6,100)
fpoly = [f poly int(xin,xdat,fdat) for xin in xpoly]
```



Polynomial interpolation: Newton polynomial

Newton interpolating polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j] N_j(x)$$

Newton basis functions

$$N_j(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (x - x_k)$$

Divided differences

$$f[x_i] = f(x_i), \qquad f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] - f[x_i, \dots, x_{i+j-1}]}{x_{i+j} - x_i}.$$

The polynomial itself is the same as Lagrange!

Advantage: Easier to incrementally add data points



Polynomial interpolation: Errors and artefacts

- Truncation errors
 - Lagrange remainder

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$$

derivative factor product factor

- Round-off errors
 - Especially for high-order polynomials

Truncation errors can be a problem if

- High-order derivatives $f^{(n+1)}(x)$ of the function are significant
- The choice of nodes leads to a large value of the product factor

Runge phenomenon: Oscillation at the edges of the interval which gets *worse* as the interpolation order is increased

Polynomial interpolation: Runge phenomenon

Consider the Runge function:

$$f(x)=rac{1}{1+25x^2}$$

Let us do polynomial interpolation using equidistant nodes



Polynomial interpolation: Chebyshev nodes

Recall the truncation error

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$$

So far, we used the equidistant nodes:

$$x_k = a + hk$$
, $k = 0, ..., n$, $h = (b - a)/n$

Can we choose the nodes x_i differently to minimize the product factor?

Yes!

Chebyshev nodes:

$$x_k = rac{a+b}{2} + rac{b-a}{2} \cos\left(rac{2k+1}{2n+2}\pi
ight), \qquad k = 0, \dots, n,$$

Equidistant vs Chebyshev nodes

Plot $\prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i)$ as a function of x for different number of nodes n on a (-1,1) interval



Back to the Runge function: Chebyshev nodes



Polynomial interpolation: Summary

Advantages:

- Generally, more accurate than the linear interpolation
- Derivatives are continuous
- Can be used for numerical integration and differential equations

Disadvantages:

- Implementation not so simple
- Artefacts possible (such as large oscillations between nodes)
- Polynomials of large order susceptible to round-off errors
- Not easily generalized to multiple dimensions

Connect each pair of nodes by a cubic polynomial

$$q_i(x) = a_i x^3 + b_i x^2 + c_i x + d_i, \qquad x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$$

4n coefficients a_i , bi, ci, di determined from

- data points and continuity (2n equations)
- + continuity of 1st and 2nd derivatives (2n-2 equations)
- + boundary conditions for first derivative (2 equations)

Advantages:

- More accurate than linear interpolation
- Derivatives are continuous
- Avoids issues with polynomials of high degree

Disadvantages:

- Implementation not so simple
- Artefacts such as large oscillations between nodes are possible



Multiple dimensions

Functions of more than one variable, e.g. f(x, y) = sin(x + y)

Data points: (x_i, yi, fi)

Main methods:

- Nearest-neighbor
- Successive 1D interpolations





2D nearest-neighbor

2D nearest-neighbor:

Simply assign the value of the closest data point to (x,y) in the plane

Consider f(x,y) = sin(x+y)

Data points at integer values x,y=0,1,...6 (regular grid)





Bilinear interpolation

Bilinear interpolation: apply linear interpolation twice

- 1. Find (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) such that $x \in (x_1, x_2)$ and $y \in (y_1, y_2)$
- 2. Calculate R_1 and R_2 for $y = y_1$ and $y = y_2$, respectively, by applying linear interpolation in x
- 3. Calculate the interpolated function value at (x, y) by performing linear interpolation in y using the computed values of R_1 and R_2







Advanced topics (further reading)

Gaussian process regression (Kriging)

- Uses prior assumption on covariances
- Provides a measure of uncertainty
- Extendable to noisy data and multiple dimensions

final project idea(?)



Hermite interpolation

- Interpolation of both the function values and derivative
- Can be polynomial or splines

final project idea(?)

